UNITED S	TATES DISTRI	
A DAMES OF A DESIGNATION OF A DESIGNATIO	District of	DELAWARE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ODDE	O OF DETENTION DENIDING TOTAL
V. RASHEEM DOLLARD,		R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL er: 08-117-M
Defendant	_ Case Numb	CI. 00-11/-W
detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		s been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
	Part I—Findings of Fact	nd has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state
or local offense that would have been a federal off  a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3	lense if a circumstance giving 3156(a)(4).	
an offense for which the maximum sentence is an offense for which a maximum term of impr		e is prescribed in
	11 11 2 1 1 0	.*
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo  (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committe  (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed so for the offense described in finding (1).	cal offenses.  Indicate the defendant was on the date of convicting date of convicting date.	
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable safety of (an) other person(s) and the community.	I further find that the defend	on or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the ant has not rebutted this presumption.
Tr. (1) There is weakable assess to believe that the defende	Alternative Findings (A)	
X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	of ten years or more is prescri	bed in
	e safety of the community.	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur-
(1) There is a parious risk that the defendant will not a	Alternative Findings (B)	
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda		son or the community.
		_
	_	
	ten Statement of Reasons	
I find that the credible testimony and information subm derance of the evidence that	itted at the hearing establishe	es by
Based on the information before the Court, including that p hearing, and the affidavit supporting the complaint and the failed to rebut the statutory presumption that no combinatio the time of trial and that the Defendant would appear for all	evidence elicited during the p on of conditions could reasons	oreliminary hearing, the Court finds that the Defendant has
The Court has reached these conclusions based on the during the hearing:	following findings and for th	e following reasons, as well as for the reasons announced
walking. After Defendant saw the officers' marked po officers saw Defendant remove a firearm from his wais from them. After he was apprehended and searched, o rocklike white substance, weighing 5 grams (a distribu	2008, law enforcement officer olice vehicle, he began to act restband and toss it onto a rooft officers found in Defendant's stable quantity, equal to approx T 138 Millennium .380 ACP, offense of Possession with In	rs responding to a call of gunshots observed Defendant nervously, including by changing direction repeatedly. Two top. When the officers asked to speak to Defendant, he ran right front pants pocket a single baggie containing a eximately 25 doses), that field tested positive for cocaine.  The which was made in Brazil. Defendant was convicted in a controlled Substance. Defendant
with intent to distribute cocaine base, there is at least p		the evidence is very strong, with respect to the possession

AO 472 (Rev. 12/03) Order of Detention Pending Trial

the history and characteristics of the Defendant: Defendant has the one prior drug distribution felony already referred to, as well as two violations of probation and two failure to appear capiases. On the other hand, he is a lifelong resident of Delaware and has the support of many family and friends, quite a few of whom were present at the hearing. For instance, Defendant's former employer is willing to rehire him to work on clean-up of construction sites; a friend is willing to post the deed to her home on his behalf; and his mother is willing to raise several thousand dollars to post as bond as further assurance that Defendant will comply with release conditions. Defendant has some history of substance abuse, for which he apparently received treatment at some point.

the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community that would be posed by the Defendant's release: prior convicted felons possessing firearms to use in furtherance of distributing illegal drugs pose a substantial danger to the community.

## Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

JULY 15<sup>TH</sup>, 2008

Date

Signature of Judge

Hon. Leonard P. Stark

Name and Title of Judge

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).